

Meeting:	Lead	ership Co	mmittee			
		t Meeting Room 3.20				
Date & Time:	te & Time: Wed		^h November 2019 at 9aı	m		
Present:						
Francesco Masala		Activities Officer (Chair)				
Ruqia Osman		Education Officer				
Tom Sawko		Sport Officer				
Eve Alcock		President (Joined the meeting under item 5.1.)				
Jiani Zhou		Postgraduate Officer				
la ettendener						
In attendance		Covernen	2 8 Executive Support Ma	nogor (Soorota		
Gregory Noakes Andrew McLaughlin		Governance & Executive Support Manager (Secretary) Chief Executive				
Mandy Wilson		Deputy Chief Executive				
Michael Daltor		Head of Commercial – Venues & Events				
Suzanne Snoo		Community Co-ordinator				
			,			
Item						
1. Apologies for absence						
				1		
	Name		Reason		Accepted	
4	Alisha Lob	0	Other meeting		Yes	
	lation of					
2.	Notice of any other business					
	The follow	ina item wa	s identified for discussion u	inder anv other	husiness:	
	The following item was identified for discussion under any other business: 1) Flo Café					
	7					
3. [Declaration of conflict of interest					
1	No committee members declared a conflict of interest in any item on the agenda.					
4. N	Minutes of previous Committee meeting					
	The minutes of the previous meeting were approved and signed by the Chair (See R1 of the					
	Committee reports).					
4.1. Matters arising from the previous Committee meeting						
	The Committee received a report on matters arising from the previous meeting (see R2 of					
t	the Committee reports).					
			aut a maating also a (1 - 1			
4.2. [Jecisions	inade with	made without a meeting since the last meeting			
	The Com	nittee receiv	red a report on decisions ta	ken without a r	meeting since the last	
			e Committee reports).			
'						
5. F	Project M	anagement	:			
ר ו	The Committee received a client brief (See R4 of the Committee reports).				e reports).	

5.1.	The committee noted that the Board had already given approval for the Boat House project to proceed as a business case at their previous meeting in the Summer.				
	The Sport Officer gave a summary outlining the particular benefits and risks associated with the project.				
	QUESTION: An Officer asked how many members were in the club. ANSWER: The Sport Officer explained it wasn't the largest club but was the most subsidised club per a member.				
	The Chief Executive noted that there was a risk that Minerva could expel the Rowing Club in order to make more space for their own members within the current Boat House.				
	QUESTION: Deputy Chief Executive asked how diverse was the club in terms of its membership. ANSWER: The Sport Officer noted that they didn't have these statistics to hand.				
	The committee discussed and agreed that a condition of funding should be to increase the club members inclusivity and to explore whether this could be used by other Student Union clubs that use the river such as canoeing.				
	(The President joined the meeting at this point)				
5.2.	 The committee discussed and agreed that they needed the business case to: make very clear what role The SU would play in this project; include full details of current loans with The SU including, how many, what for, how much still to be paid back and how much has been paid back; include details of the current Rowing Club membership (Size/diversity); explain the current relationship between The SU and Minerva; explain whether there is an opportunity for other SU clubs that use the river to also benefit from this project; to explain what would happen if the project is not approved. 				
	 The committee agreed that the business case should be submitted to: Board meeting in December for general feedback; Finance & Audit committee in Spring for closer scrutiny; Board meeting in March for final decision. 				
	ACTION: Boat House business case to be submitted to the Board meeting in December.				
6.	Participation Data for Student Leaders				
	This item was deferred to the student leaders working party.				
7.	Any other business				
	The following item had been previously identified for discussion:				
	(the Head of Commercial – Venues & Events and Community Co-ordinator joined the meeting at this point)				
	1) Flo Café				

	 The Head of Commercial explained that the Flo Café is still making a loss and that a decision needs to be made on what should happen to it. They outlined the following possible options, including the benefits and possible risks: Make into a bookable space for SU activities/events; Turn it into a student kitchen for use by student groups; Turn it into an SU living room; They noted that AHS had initially given them the café on the agreement that this would continue to be run as a café. Therefore they would need to be consulted if there were to be any changes made. The committee noted that the University are currently carrying out a review around the purpose of Virgil Building The committee discussed and agreed that feedback should be gathered from students on how they want this space to be used before a decision is made. ACTIONS: Options for Flo Café to be explored.
The meeting	g ended at 10.33am.
The meeting	g ended at 10.33am.
The meeting Item number	g ended at 10.33am. Action
Item	
ltem number	Action

Chair:	Francesco Masala
Date:	18/12/2019